

F. DeARMOND SHARP, ESQ.  
 Nevada State Bar No. 780  
 Robison, Belaustegui, Sharp & Low  
 71 Washington Street  
 Reno, NV 89503  
 Tel: 775-329-3151  
 Fax: 775-329-7941  
 Email: [dsharp@rbsllaw.com](mailto:dsharp@rbsllaw.com)

I. STEPHEN RABIN, ESQ.  
 Rabin & Peckel LLP  
 317 Madison Avenue, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
 New York, NY 10017  
 Tel: 212-880-3722  
 Fax: 212-880-3716  
 Email: [srabin@rabinpeckel.com](mailto:srabin@rabinpeckel.com)

JEFFREY H. SQUIRE, ESQ.  
 Bragar Wexler Eagel & Squire, P.C.  
 885 Third Avenue, Suite 3040  
 New York, NY 10022  
 Tel: 212-308-5858  
 Fax: 212-208-2519

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

BERTRAND SELLIER, On Behalf of Himself  
 and All Others Similarly Situated

Plaintiff,

v.

HARBIN ELECTRIC, INC., TIANFU YANG,  
 CHING CHUENG CHAN, BOYD PLOWMAN,  
 DAVID GATTOM, YUNYUE YE, and  
 LANXIANG GAO,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:10-cv-00645-HDM-VPC

**AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE OF  
 STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME TO  
 RESPOND TO MOTION TO DISMISS  
 (Second Request)**

STATE OF NEVADA            )  
   ) ss.  
 COUNTY OF WASHOE        )

1 I, Joan E. Peyser, hereby swears under penalty of perjury, that the following statements  
2 are true of my own personal knowledge:

3 I am employed in the City of Reno, County of Washoe, State of Nevada, by the law  
4 offices of Robison, Belaustegui, Sharp & Low. My business address is 71 Washington Street,  
5 Reno, NV 89503. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action.

6 On **January 19, 2011**, I caused the *STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND*  
7 *TO MOTION TO DISMISS (Second Request)* to be served by the following method(s):

- 8 ☐ U.S. Mail: A true copy was placed in Robison, Belaustegui, Sharp & Low's outgoing  
9 mail in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:
- 10 ☒ Electronic: Filed the document electronically with the U. S. District Court and therefore  
11 the court's computer system has electronically delivered a copy of the document to the  
12 person(s) as addressed on the attached Receipt of Notice of Electronic Filing.
- 13 ☐ Hand Delivery: By causing a true and correct copy to be hand delivered to the person(s)  
14 and address(es) shown below:
- 15 ☒ Facsimile: By faxing a true copy on **January 20, 2011** to the person(s) and fax number(s)  
16 listed below as evidenced by the attached fax transmission receipt:

17 Eugene R. Licker, Esq.  
18 Laura M. Vasey, Esq.  
19 Loeb & Loeb LLP  
20 Facsimile: 212-407-4990

21 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the  
22 foregoing is true and correct, and that this Declaration was executed on **January 20, 2011**.

23   
Joan E. Peyser

24 SWORN and SUBSCRIBED  
25 before me by Joan E. Peyser  
26 on this 20<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2011.

27   
28 Notary Public



## Other Documents

3:10-cv-00645-RCJ-VPC Sellier v. Harbin Electric, Inc. et al

United States District Court

District of Nevada

### Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered by Sharp, F. on 1/19/2011 at 4:41 PM PST and filed on 1/19/2011

**Case Name:** Sellier v. Harbin Electric, Inc. et al

**Case Number:** 3:10-cv-00645-RCJ-VPC

**Filer:** Bertrand Sellier

**Document Number:** 24

#### Docket Text:

**STIPULATION re [17] MOTION to Dismiss *Defendant Harbin Electric, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss and Supporting Memorandum of Law* ; *EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO MOTION TO DISMISS (Second Request)* by Plaintiff Bertrand Sellier. (Attachments: # (1) Proposed Order)(Sharp, F.)**

**3:10-cv-00645-RCJ-VPC Notice has been electronically mailed to:**

F. DeArmond Sharp dsharp@rbslattys.com

Tamara Beatty Peterson TPeterson@bhfs.com, eparcells@bhfs.com

**3:10-cv-00645-RCJ-VPC Notice has been delivered by other means to:**

I. Stephen Rabin  
Rabin & Peckel, LLP  
317 Madison Avenue  
21 Floor  
New York, NY 10017

Jeffrey H. Squire  
Bragar Wexler Eigel & Squire, P.C.  
885 Third Avenue, Suite 3040  
New York, NY 10022

Laura M. Vasey  
Loeb & Loeb LLP  
345 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10154-0037

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

**Document description:**Main Document

**Original filename:**n/a

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP dcecfStamp\_ID=1101333072 [Date=1/19/2011] [FileNumber=5068699-0]  
][adfae9e2323fe1cff1e83197d4f3ae6a5c25c5e74b3bf4fb63fa9258b9b383b14ed  
f2b197c9a9dc4d39df4f83a4038ec74e20ef008303d7307971e61e4b6ce3b]]

**Document description:**Proposed Order

**Original filename:**n/a

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP dcecfStamp\_ID=1101333072 [Date=1/19/2011] [FileNumber=5068699-1]  
][e8258afb1413537bb22932e34edadd425aa09c5d8e743324a7d17c3db4d4bd0d882  
3015ca206e962b1f3227218c0c2510eb622cafcfeb5eb9e0b70c0d51e2724]]

\*\*\*\*\* -COMM. JOURNAL- \*\*\*\*\* DATE JAN-19-2011 \*\*\*\*\* TIME 22:42 \*\*\*\*\*

MODE = MEMORY TRANSMISSION

START=JAN-19 22:41

END=JAN-19 22:42

FILE NO.=230

STN NO.	COMM.	STATION NAME/EMAIL ADDRESS/TELEPHONE NO.	PAGES	DURATION
001	OK	212124074990	003	00:00:49

-RBSL

\*\*\*\*\* UF-7200 \*\*\*\*\* -7753297941

- \*\*\*\*\* -

- \*\*\*\*\*

Case 3:10-cv-00645-RCJ-VPC Document 24 Filed 01/19/11 Page 1 of 2

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

BERTRAND SELLIER, On Behalf of Himself  
and All Others Similarly Situated

Plaintiff,

v.

HARBIN ELECTRIC, INC., TIANFU YANG,  
CHING CHUENG CHAN, BOYD PLOWMAN,  
DAVID GATTOM, YUNYUE YE, and  
LANXIANG GAO,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:10-cv-00645-HDM-VPC

**STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME TO  
RESPOND TO MOTION TO DISMISS  
(Second Request)**

WHEREAS, Defendant Harbin Electric, Inc. ("Harbin") moved to dismiss or, in the alternative, to stay this action on December 3, 2010;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff Bertrand Sellier ("Plaintiff") has requested a second extension of time to respond to Harbin's motion in order to coordinate, in the interests of judicial economy, with the nine other related-actions pending in this district and the state courts of Nevada and New York;

IT IS THEREFORE STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Plaintiff and Harbin, by and through their undersigned counsel and subject to the approval of this Court, that:

1. The time for Plaintiff to respond to Harbin's motion shall be extended to March 11, 2011, unless otherwise agreed by the parties or ordered by the Court; and

2. Harbin's reply thereto shall be due on April 11, 2011.

DATED: January 19, 2011